

research brief

Stage 2 Characteristics of Youth with Disabilities and Employment Status: Preliminary Investigation

A set of Stage 2 variables were created that were modifiable characteristics of the participants of the National Longitudinal Transition Study-2 (NLTS-2) data. These variables were used to predict employment using a classification tree. The resulting tree showed two sub-populations of employment status separated by the previous job history of the youth. This analysis can be used to identify sub-populations that are at high risk (and low risk) of not gaining employment to identify factors that may be modified in order to lead to better employment outcomes.

authors

Adam P. Sima
Jessica M. Ketchum

introduction

The goal of this analysis is to create a model using modifiable characteristics of students with disabilities and the schools they attend to predict employment. The set of variables considered here are referred to as “Stage 2” variables. The regression tree analysis will result in several sub-populations of students based on the Stage 2 characteristics that have varying risks of unemployment.



table 1
summary of the employment status
 as defined by any job in the two years prior to completion of the NLTS-2 study

Employment Status	N (%)	Wave 5
Yes	1720 (76.1)	np5t1c_a4c=1 or np5a4c=1 or np5t1b_a4b=1 or np5a5b=1
No	540 (23.9)	Any above variables = 0 and the rest = 0 or missing

table 2
summary of employment status and
logistic regression analysis results

Frequencies may not sum to n=2640 because of missing values. Logistic regression p-values are for the overall effect, and NOT for the individual odds ratios. * P<0.05 ** P<0.01 *** P<0.001

Variable	Level	Employment Status		OR (95% CI)	Sig	NLTS-2 Variables
		N	%			
Type of School	Regular	1870	85.1	1.654 (1.282, 2.134)	0.0001	np1d1b np2d1b
	Other [ref]	330	14.9	-		
Youth Enjoys School	A Lot	370	23.9	1.422 (0.988, 2.047)	0.0226	np2r1a_k3b
	Pretty Much	480	31.3	1.519 (1.074, 2.149)		
	A Little	430	28.0	1.042 (0.741, 1.466)		
	Not at All [ref]	260	16.8	-		
Youth is Feels Like a Part of School	A Lot	320	29.7	0.771 (0.422, 1.408)	0.7590	np2r1b np3r1b np4r1b
	Pretty Much	380	35.2	0.752 (0.416, 1.360)		
	A Little	280	26.4	0.717 (0.391, 1.316)		
	Not at All [ref]	90	8.6	-		
Parents' Expectation of a Paid job	Definitely Will	1770	81.4	20.150 (11.693, 34.726)	< 0.0001	np1j9 np2g12a np2g12a
	Probably Will	320	14.6	4.527 (2.550, 8.036)		
	Will not [ref]	90	4.0	-		
Parents' Expectation of Self-Supportive Child	Definitely Will	780	37.1	12.977 (8.471, 19.880)	< 0.0001	np1j10 np2g12b np2g12b
	Probably Will	820	39.0	5.517 (3.697, 8.234)		
	Probably Won't	380	18.1	2.937 (1.926, 4.479)		
	Definitely Won't [ref]	120	5.8	-		
Attended Community College	Yes	880	39.9	1.704 (1.384, 2.099)	< 0.0001	np5s3a_a2a
	No [ref]	1380	61.1	-		
Attended any sort of Vocational School	Yes	160	7.3	2.120 (1.339, 3.358)	0.0014	np5s5a_a3f
	No [ref]	2100	92.7	-		
Attended a 4-year University	Yes	510	22.7	1.747 (1.354, 2.255)	< 0.0001	np5s5a_a3i
	No [ref]	1750	77.3	-		
Had a Transition Plan	Yes	1230	93.2	0.874 (0.527, 1.450)	0.6028	npr1e1 npr2e1
	No [ref]	90	6.8	-		
Had a Previous Paid Job	Yes	1970	87.0	13.699 (10.313, 18.198)	< 0.0001	np4hadpdjob np3hadpdjob np2hadpdjob np1l_6a_19
	No [ref]	300	13.0	-		
Received any Vocational Services	Yes	1120	49.5	1.136 (0.936, 1.379)	0.1952	np5t10a_c1a_a_ever
	No [ref]	1140	50.5	-		
Graduated High School	Yes	1970	87.4	1.980 (1.519, 2.580)	< 0.0001	np5s1a_a2e
	No [ref]	280	12.6	-		
Functional Mental Skills Score	High	1060	49.2	8.746 (6.085, 12.571)	< 0.0001	np1mentalskill
	Medium	940	43.5	5.455 (3.814, 7.802)		
	Low [ref]	160	7.3	-		
English Proficiency	Native English	1250	86.8	2.753 (2.007, 3.776)	< 0.0001	npr2b1 npr1b1
	Other [ref]	190	13.2	-		

0.0001), whether or not the child went on to attend any community college ($p < 0.0001$), any sort of vocational school ($p = 0.0014$), or any 4 year university ($p < 0.0001$), whether or not the child had any previous job ($p < 0.0001$) or graduated high school ($p < 0.0001$), functional mental skills score ($p < 0.0001$), and English proficiency ($p < 0.0001$) were all significantly associated with the probability of having a job in the last two years of the study. Whether or not the child had a transition plan ($p = 0.60$) and if they received any vocational services ($p = 0.20$) were not significantly associated with employment status in the last two years of the study.

The results for the classification tree analysis on the employment status within two years of the end of the study can be found in Table 3. The primary split for the tree was whether or not a student had a previous job prior to the

fifth wave. Those who were employed were universally more likely to be employed at the later point than those who were not employed with the percentage of employment ranging from 61.6% to 88.5%. Of those who have had a job, the parents' expectation of them either having a job or being self-supportive was predictive of employment. The receipt of vocational services and English proficiency was also a moderator of employment. The percentage of those who were employed in the past two years for those who did not have a prior job ranged from 5.6% to 40.0%. This rate was moderated by the parents' expectation as well, with higher expectations correlating with higher employment.

The kappa statistic for the classification tree is 0.40 (95% CI: 0.36, 0.44), indicating moderate predictive ability of the classification tree.

discussion


The bivariate analyses of each of the modifiable variables with employment status shows there are a number of modifiable variables that impact employment. The regression tree analysis shows that of these variables, a previous job history and the parents' expectations are important predictors of employment. These variables provide moderate predictive use.

This analysis was constrained so that the only predictor variables considered were those that can reasonably be assumed to have been asked of all participants. This excluded potential important predictors, such as drug and alcohol use that were only asked of the children and household responsibilities that were only asked of the parents. Other characteristics

of employment conditional upon response included questions regarding transition plans and post-high school education. Future analyses can consider these sub-populations separately to assess how the conditional variables impact employment.

Previous research sought to determine permanent, non-modifiable characteristics of employment. In a similar fashion as the current analysis, several homogenous groups were found through a classification tree analysis. Future work will seek to determine how the modifiable variables in the current analysis affect the employment rate separately for each sub-population identified by the classification tree.

table 3



author

Adam P. Sima, M.A., Virginia Commonwealth University
 Jessica M. Ketchum, Ph.D., Virginia Commonwealth University